

Job Shadowing Experience (C2)

Evaluation Form:

Name: SI LVIA TURMO

- Job shadowing in a setting partners setting and observing each other's practice to gain a better understanding of the role of the early years practitioner in relation to their educational system, curriculum and provision;
- To gain a better knowledge and understanding of how the setting partners are supporting toddlers' wellbeing through the different intellectual outputs and materials:
 - o Toddlers' wellbeing,
 - o Toddlers' voices and expressions,
 - o Toddlers' mealtimes and
 - o Toddlers' early language(s)
- To reflect, contrast and compare the ways of working and strategies developed to support toddlers' wellbeing.

No	Performance Indicator	Themes	1	2	3	4
1	Quality of the job shadov	ving experience	4			
1.1	Input into the job shadowing experience by the setting partners	 The extent to which each partner contributes to the job shadowing The evidence of partners sharing roles and responsibilities during the job shadowing 	X			
1.2	Links between the aims of the job shadowing experience and the overall aims of the project	 Mutual understanding amongst partners about the project and job shadowing experience rationale and the short term and long term objectives of the job shadowing experience Clear evidence in the job shadowing experience programme of real synergy with the overall objectives of the project 	L			
1.3	Development of positive attitudes towards Europe	 Opportunities for the development of positive attitudes towards Europe and towards job shadowing The extent and quality of the job shadowing experience The extent of opportunities for participants to share information about their own countries and education systems 	8			

No	Performance Indicator	Themes	1	2	3	4
2	Structure, content and ex	perience of job shadowing	p			
2.1	Organisation of the job shadowing experience	 Evidence of clear planning Realistic timescales Appropriateness of the programme 	×			
2.2	Effectiveness of content and appropriate range and balance of activities within the job shadowing experience	 Appropriate content, clearly related to the aims and objectives of the job shadowing Relevant mixture of activities e.g. job shadowing in setting, reflective session, discussion on educational system and curriculum, social activities, free time Appropriateness of the social programme 	2			
2.3	Effectiveness of the job shadowing and other activities etc.	 appropriate subject competence and knowledge good communicators with the necessary language skills 	K			
2.4	Effectiveness of shared ownership of the job shadowing	 Evidence that the needs and expectations of participants have been taken into account Evidence that participants have the opportunity to contribute their own expertise 	K			
2.5	Effectiveness of the process of monitoring and evaluation	 Quality of the mechanism for evaluation both short term and long term including follow-up activities, if appropriate Evidence of on-going assistance to participants, if appropriate 		K		

No	Performance Indicator	Themes	1	2	3	4
3	Quality of the domestic	nrrangements	O.L			
3.1	Quality and appropriateness of the domestic arrangements and the comfort factor	 Attention to practical details and catering Suitability of the working venue Quality of overnight accommodation Evidence of special requirements (dietary for example) being met 	X			

'Quality of the job shadowing experience' (1.1)

This performance indicator is concerned with:

- The extent to which each partner contributes to the experience
- The evidence of partners sharing roles and responsibilities during the experience

A performance meriting Level 1 would be illustrated by:

- 1. Each partner plays a role in the experience according to an agreed prior division of roles and responsibilities
- 2. There is clear evidence of a collaborative approach with strong team work

- 1. There is a lack of clarity in the partners' division of roles and responsibilities and consequently there may be evidence of a failure to contribute as required
- 2. Where problems have arisen, there is a lack of commitment to finding a mutually acceptable compromise



Job Shadowing Experience (C2)

Evaluation Form:

Name: Kathryn Hogarth

- Job shadowing in a setting partners setting and observing each other's practice to gain a better understanding of the role of the early years practitioner in relation to their educational system, curriculum and provision;
- To gain a better knowledge and understanding of how the setting partners are supporting toddlers' wellbeing through the different intellectual outputs and materials:
 - o Toddlers' wellbeing,
 - o Toddlers' voices and expressions,
 - o Toddlers' mealtimes and
 - Toddlers' early language(s)
- To reflect, contrast and compare the ways of working and strategies developed to support toddlers' wellbeing.

No	Performance Indicator	Themes	1	2	3	4
1	Quality of the job shadov	ving experience				
1.1	Input into the job shadowing experience by the setting partners	 The extent to which each partner contributes to the job shadowing The evidence of partners sharing roles and responsibilities during the job shadowing 	\ \!			
1.2	Links between the aims of the job shadowing experience and the overall aims of the project	 Mutual understanding amongst partners about the project and job shadowing experience rationale and the short term and long term objectives of the job shadowing experience Clear evidence in the job shadowing experience programme of real synergy with the overall objectives of the project 	\(\)			
1.3	Development of positive attitudes towards Europe	 Opportunities for the development of positive attitudes towards Europe and towards job shadowing The extent and quality of the job shadowing experience The extent of opportunities for participants to share information about their own countries and education systems 				

No	Performance Indicator	Themes	1	2	3	4
2	Structure, content and ex	sperience of job shadowing				
2.1	Organisation of the job shadowing experience	 Evidence of clear planning Realistic timescales Appropriateness of the programme 	/			
2.2	Effectiveness of content and appropriate range and balance of activities within the job shadowing experience	 Appropriate content, clearly related to the aims and objectives of the job shadowing Relevant mixture of activities e.g. job shadowing in setting, reflective session, discussion on educational system and curriculum, social activities, free time Appropriateness of the social programme 				
2.3	Effectiveness of the job shadowing and other activities etc.	 appropriate subject competence and knowledge good communicators with the necessary language skills 	/			
2.4	Effectiveness of shared ownership of the job shadowing	 Evidence that the needs and expectations of participants have been taken into account Evidence that participants have the opportunity to contribute their own expertise 	/			
2.5	Effectiveness of the process of monitoring and evaluation	 Quality of the mechanism for evaluation both short term and long term including follow-up activities, if appropriate Evidence of on-going assistance to participants, if appropriate 				

No	Performance Indicator	Themes	1	2	3	4
3	Quality of the domestic	arrangements				
3.1	Quality and appropriateness of the domestic arrangements and the comfort factor	 Attention to practical details and catering Suitability of the working venue Quality of overnight accommodation Evidence of special requirements (dietary for example) being met 				

'Quality of the job shadowing experience' (1.1)

This performance indicator is concerned with:

- The extent to which each partner contributes to the experience
- The evidence of partners sharing roles and responsibilities during the experience

A performance meriting Level 1 would be illustrated by:

- 1. Each partner plays a role in the experience according to an agreed prior division of roles and responsibilities
- 2. There is clear evidence of a collaborative approach with strong team work

A performance meriting Level 4 would be illustrated by:

- 1. There is a lack of clarity in the partners' division of roles and responsibilities and consequently there may be evidence of a failure to contribute as required
- 2. Where problems have arisen, there is a lack of commitment to finding a mutually acceptable compromise

Sondres has been organised was perfect.

Very good balance of brine in setting and brie for represent + social brief and brief of alleagues.



Job Shadowing Experience (C2)

Evaluation Form:

Name: Maria José Riella Barragan

- Job shadowing in a setting partners setting and observing each other's practice to gain a better understanding of the role of the early years practitioner in relation to their educational system, curriculum and provision;
- To gain a better knowledge and understanding of how the setting partners are supporting toddlers' wellbeing through the different intellectual outputs and materials:
 - o Toddlers' wellbeing,
 - o Toddlers' voices and expressions,
 - o Toddlers' mealtimes and
 - Toddlers' early language(s)
- To reflect, contrast and compare the ways of working and strategies developed to support toddlers' wellbeing.

No	Performance Indicator	Themes	1	2	3	4
1	Quality of the job shadov	ving experience				
1.1	Input into the job shadowing experience by the setting partners	 The extent to which each partner contributes to the job shadowing The evidence of partners sharing roles and responsibilities during the job shadowing 	×			
1.2	Links between the aims of the job shadowing experience and the overall aims of the project	 Mutual understanding amongst partners about the project and job shadowing experience rationale and the short term and long term objectives of the job shadowing experience Clear evidence in the job shadowing experience programme of real synergy with the overall objectives of the project 	X			
1.3	Development of positive attitudes towards Europe	 Opportunities for the development of positive attitudes towards Europe and towards job shadowing The extent and quality of the job shadowing experience The extent of opportunities for participants to share information about their own countries and education systems 	×			

No	Performance Indicator	Themes	1	2	3	4
2	Structure, content and ex	sperience of job shadowing				
2.1	Organisation of the job shadowing experience	 Evidence of clear planning Realistic timescales Appropriateness of the programme 	X			
2.2	Effectiveness of content and appropriate range and balance of activities within the job shadowing experience	 Appropriate content, clearly related to the aims and objectives of the job shadowing Relevant mixture of activities e.g. job shadowing in setting, reflective session, discussion on educational system and curriculum, social activities, free time Appropriateness of the social programme 	*			
2.3	Effectiveness of the job shadowing and other activities etc.	 appropriate subject competence and knowledge good communicators with the necessary language skills 	×			
2.4	Effectiveness of shared ownership of the job shadowing	 Evidence that the needs and expectations of participants have been taken into account Evidence that participants have the opportunity to contribute their own expertise 	×			
2.5	Effectiveness of the process of monitoring and evaluation	 Quality of the mechanism for evaluation both short term and long term including follow-up activities, if appropriate Evidence of on-going assistance to participants, if appropriate 	×			

No	Performance Indicator	Themes	1	2	3	4
3	Quality of the domestic a	nrrangements				
3.1	Quality and appropriateness of the domestic arrangements and the comfort factor	 Attention to practical details and catering Suitability of the working venue Quality of overnight accommodation Evidence of special requirements (dietary for example) being met 	*			

'Quality of the job shadowing experience' (1.1)

This performance indicator is concerned with:

- The extent to which each partner contributes to the experience
- The evidence of partners sharing roles and responsibilities during the experience

A performance meriting Level 1 would be illustrated by:

- 1. Each partner plays a role in the experience according to an agreed prior division of roles and responsibilities
- 2. There is clear evidence of a collaborative approach with strong team work

- 1. There is a lack of clarity in the partners' division of roles and responsibilities and consequently there may be evidence of a failure to contribute as required
- 2. Where problems have arisen, there is a lack of commitment to finding a mutually acceptable compromise



Job Shadowing Experience (C2)

Evaluation Form:

Name: Jo Bunn

- Job shadowing in a setting partners setting and observing each other's practice to gain a better understanding of the role of the early years practitioner in relation to their educational system, curriculum and provision;
- To gain a better knowledge and understanding of how the setting partners are supporting toddlers' wellbeing through the different intellectual outputs and materials:
 - o Toddlers' wellbeing,
 - o Toddlers' voices and expressions,
 - o Toddlers' mealtimes and
 - Toddlers' early language(s)
- To reflect, contrast and compare the ways of working and strategies developed to support toddlers' wellbeing.

No	Performance Indicator	Themes	1	2	3	4
1	Quality of the job shadov	ving experience				
1.1	Input into the job shadowing experience by the setting partners	 The extent to which each partner contributes to the job shadowing The evidence of partners sharing roles and responsibilities during the job shadowing 	✓ ✓			
1.2	Links between the aims of the job shadowing experience and the overall aims of the project	 Mutual understanding amongst partners about the project and job shadowing experience rationale and the short term and long term objectives of the job shadowing experience Clear evidence in the job shadowing experience programme of real synergy with the overall objectives of the project 	/			
1.3	Development of positive attitudes towards Europe	 Opportunities for the development of positive attitudes towards Europe and towards job shadowing The extent and quality of the job shadowing experience The extent of opportunities for participants to share information about their own countries and education systems 	\ \ \			

No	Performance Indicator	Themes	1	2	3	4
2	Structure, content and ex	sperience of job shadowing				
2.1	Organisation of the job shadowing experience	 Evidence of clear planning Realistic timescales Appropriateness of the programme 	/			
2.2	Effectiveness of content and appropriate range and balance of activities within the job shadowing experience	 Appropriate content, clearly related to the aims and objectives of the job shadowing Relevant mixture of activities e.g. job shadowing in setting, reflective session, discussion on educational system and curriculum, social activities, free time Appropriateness of the social programme 	/	e		
2.3	Effectiveness of the job shadowing and other activities etc.	 appropriate subject competence and knowledge good communicators with the necessary language skills 	/			
2.4	Effectiveness of shared ownership of the job shadowing	 Evidence that the needs and expectations of participants have been taken into account Evidence that participants have the opportunity to contribute their own expertise 	/			
2.5	Effectiveness of the process of monitoring and evaluation	 Quality of the mechanism for evaluation both short term and long term including follow-up activities, if appropriate Evidence of on-going assistance to participants, if appropriate 	/			

No	Performance Indicator	Themes	1	2	3	4
3	Quality of the domestic	arrangements				
3.1	Quality and appropriateness of the domestic arrangements and the comfort factor	 Attention to practical details and catering Suitability of the working venue Quality of overnight accommodation Evidence of special requirements (dietary for example) being met 	/			

'Quality of the job shadowing experience' (1.1)

This performance indicator is concerned with:

- The extent to which each partner contributes to the experience
- The evidence of partners sharing roles and responsibilities during the experience

A performance meriting Level 1 would be illustrated by:

- 1. Each partner plays a role in the experience according to an agreed prior division of roles and responsibilities
- 2. There is clear evidence of a collaborative approach with strong team work

A performance meriting Level 4 would be illustrated by:

- 1. There is a lack of clarity in the partners' division of roles and responsibilities and consequently there may be evidence of a failure to contribute as required
- 2. Where problems have arisen, there is a lack of commitment to finding a mutually acceptable compromise

It has been an amozing experience!

Thank you for the opportunity.



Job Shadowing Experience (C2)

Evaluation Form:

Name: MIREID MIRALPEIX

- Job shadowing in a setting partners setting and observing each other's practice to gain a better understanding of the role of the early years practitioner in relation to their educational system, curriculum and provision;
- To gain a better knowledge and understanding of how the setting partners are supporting toddlers' wellbeing through the different intellectual outputs and materials:
 - o Toddlers' wellbeing,
 - o Toddlers' voices and expressions,
 - o Toddlers' mealtimes and
 - Toddlers' early language(s)
- To reflect, contrast and compare the ways of working and strategies developed to support toddlers' wellbeing.

No	Performance Indicator	Themes	1	2	3	4
1	Quality of the job shadov	ving experience	X			
1.1	Input into the job shadowing experience by the setting partners	 The extent to which each partner contributes to the job shadowing The evidence of partners sharing roles and responsibilities during the job shadowing 	×			
1.2	Links between the aims of the job shadowing experience and the overall aims of the project	 Mutual understanding amongst partners about the project and job shadowing experience rationale and the short term and long term objectives of the job shadowing experience Clear evidence in the job shadowing experience programme of real synergy with the overall objectives of the project 	X			
1.3	Development of positive attitudes towards Europe	 Opportunities for the development of positive attitudes towards Europe and towards job shadowing The extent and quality of the job shadowing experience The extent of opportunities for participants to share information about their own countries and education systems 	×			

No	Performance Indicator	Themes	1	2	3	4
2	Structure, content and ex	sperience of job shadowing	*			
2.1	Organisation of the job shadowing experience	 Evidence of clear planning Realistic timescales Appropriateness of the programme 	×			
2.2	Effectiveness of content and appropriate range and balance of activities within the job shadowing experience	 Appropriate content, clearly related to the aims and objectives of the job shadowing Relevant mixture of activities e.g. job shadowing in setting, reflective session, discussion on educational system and curriculum, social activities, free time Appropriateness of the social programme 	×			
2.3	Effectiveness of the job shadowing and other activities etc.	 appropriate subject competence and knowledge good communicators with the necessary language skills 	×			
2.4	Effectiveness of shared ownership of the job shadowing	 Evidence that the needs and expectations of participants have been taken into account Evidence that participants have the opportunity to contribute their own expertise 	Y			
2.5	Effectiveness of the process of monitoring and evaluation	 Quality of the mechanism for evaluation both short term and long term including follow-up activities, if appropriate Evidence of on-going assistance to participants, if appropriate 	X			

No	Performance Indicator	Themes	1	2	3	4
3	Quality of the domestic	arrangements	×			
3.1	Quality and appropriateness of the domestic arrangements and the comfort factor	 Attention to practical details and catering Suitability of the working venue Quality of overnight accommodation Evidence of special requirements (dietary for example) being met 	+			

'Quality of the job shadowing experience' (1.1)


This performance indicator is concerned with:

- The extent to which each partner contributes to the experience
- The evidence of partners sharing roles and responsibilities during the experience

A performance meriting Level 1 would be illustrated by:

- 1. Each partner plays a role in the experience according to an agreed prior division of roles and responsibilities
- 2. There is clear evidence of a collaborative approach with strong team work

- 1. There is a lack of clarity in the partners' division of roles and responsibilities and consequently there may be evidence of a failure to contribute as required
- 2. Where problems have arisen, there is a lack of commitment to finding a mutually acceptable compromise





Job Shadowing Experience (C2)

Evaluation Form:

Name: NATALIA TURMO

- Job shadowing in a setting partners setting and observing each other's practice to gain a better
 understanding of the role of the early years practitioner in relation to their educational system,
 curriculum and provision;
- To gain a better knowledge and understanding of how the setting partners are supporting toddlers' wellbeing through the different intellectual outputs and materials:
 - o Toddlers' wellbeing,
 - o Toddlers' voices and expressions,
 - o Toddlers' mealtimes and
 - Toddlers' early language(s)
- To reflect, contrast and compare the ways of working and strategies developed to support toddlers' wellbeing.

No	Performance Indicator	Themes	1	2	3	4
1	Quality of the job shadov	ving experience	X			
1.1	Input into the job shadowing experience by the setting partners	 The extent to which each partner contributes to the job shadowing The evidence of partners sharing roles and responsibilities during the job shadowing 	×			
1.2	Links between the aims of the job shadowing experience and the overall aims of the project	 Mutual understanding amongst partners about the project and job shadowing experience rationale and the short term and long term objectives of the job shadowing experience Clear evidence in the job shadowing experience programme of real synergy with the overall objectives of the project 	×			
1.3	Development of positive attitudes towards Europe	 Opportunities for the development of positive attitudes towards Europe and towards job shadowing The extent and quality of the job shadowing experience The extent of opportunities for participants to share information about their own countries and education systems 	X			

No	Performance Indicator	Themes	1	2	3	4
2	Structure, content and ex	sperience of job shadowing	X			
2.1	Organisation of the job shadowing experience	 Evidence of clear planning Realistic timescales Appropriateness of the programme 	×			
2.2	Effectiveness of content and appropriate range and balance of activities within the job shadowing experience	 Appropriate content, clearly related to the aims and objectives of the job shadowing Relevant mixture of activities e.g. job shadowing in setting, reflective session, discussion on educational system and curriculum, social activities, free time Appropriateness of the social programme 	×			
2.3	Effectiveness of the job shadowing and other activities etc.	 appropriate subject competence and knowledge good communicators with the necessary language skills 	X			
2.4	Effectiveness of shared ownership of the job shadowing	 Evidence that the needs and expectations of participants have been taken into account Evidence that participants have the opportunity to contribute their own expertise 	X			
2.5	Effectiveness of the process of monitoring and evaluation	 Quality of the mechanism for evaluation both short term and long term including follow-up activities, if appropriate Evidence of on-going assistance to participants, if appropriate 	X			

No	Performance Indicator	Themes	1	2	3	4
3	Quality of the domestic	arrangements	Χ			
3.1	Quality and appropriateness of the domestic arrangements and the comfort factor	 Attention to practical details and catering Suitability of the working venue Quality of overnight accommodation Evidence of special requirements (dietary for example) being met 	X			

'Quality of the job shadowing experience' (1.1)

This performance indicator is concerned with:

- The extent to which each partner contributes to the experience
- The evidence of partners sharing roles and responsibilities during the experience

A performance meriting Level 1 would be illustrated by:

- 1. Each partner plays a role in the experience according to an agreed prior division of roles and responsibilities
- 2. There is clear evidence of a collaborative approach with strong team work

- 1. There is a lack of clarity in the partners' division of roles and responsibilities and consequently there may be evidence of a failure to contribute as required
- 2. Where problems have arisen, there is a lack of commitment to finding a mutually acceptable compromise